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Abstract: In this research, an intelligent fuzzy logic technique is embedded with robust fractional order sliding mode control that comprises fractional differentiation and integration enhancement technique, with a view to control a high-power five-phase Permanent Magnet Synchronous Generator (PMSG) based direct-driven wind turbine. At first, fractional sliding surface is created, and its control law is obtained. Then, fast reaching law is designed by using Fuzzy Logic Approach (FLC) to replace the discontinuity in the traditional non-derivable signum function of conventional Sliding Mode Control (SMC), with the aim to reduce the chattering phenomenon during the sliding phase, enhance dynamic operation, static performance, and improve the produced energy efficiency and robustness. The new proposed approach is evaluated by conducting comparative simulation and analysis with the classical SMC and PI controller from published works. The results disclose the great reliability, fantastic behaviour, and constancy of Fuzzy Fractional-Order Sliding Mode Control (FFOSMC), and the efficiency of the wind turbine is upgraded to 97.2 %, with a favourable tracking performance, although the external conditions, compared to the classical PI technique that can only provide 86.2 % of efficacy.
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1. Introduction

In the wind energy industry, the use of PMSG as generator provides more validity and reliability, better accuracy and increased efficiency. Moreover, PMSG offers the ability of working with a gearless configuration, which reduces the weight compared to the asynchronous machines, and decreases the system maintenance cost [1-2]. This philosophy conducts to another complicated and advanced type of generators, which is the multiphase PMSG that brings more important benefits as higher power, simpler integration, plus the vantage to keep working with high efficacy when some fault takes place. Besides, the idea of using multiphase machine as a generator to produce the electrical power is based on the high pole number of the multiphase PMSG that gives the possibility of operating the turbine with reduced wind speed alterations [3]. Nevertheless, the proposed type of generator with five phases is a nonlinear system with many related variables, so its performance rate is susceptible to the parametric disturbances. Classical control strategies as PI control have been widely applied due to its simplicity and ease of implementation, yet the dynamism is always unsatisfactory in term of tracking capability and robustness [4]. Moreover, PI controller produces high starting overshoot, not to mention that it is sensitive to controller gains and suffers from sluggish response to sudden disturbances. To overcome this issue, a self-tuning PI controller is proposed by using different algorithms as metaheuristic tools. As a model, PSO self-Tuning [5], bacterial foraging optimization (BFO) [6], grey wolf optimizer [7]. Another tuning strategy named gravitational search algorithm is proposed in [8], where the authors
conducted a comparison between GSA and the previous mentioned metaheuristic algorithms including PSO and BFO, and concluded that the GSA approach provides an enhanced performance. Nevertheless, the parameters in these techniques are designed offline, then they are implemented into the controller for its real-time process. Thus, the efficiency rate can decrease within real time perturbations. Therefore, online tuning operation has been proposed in literature as [9], that is suggesting fuzzy logic and neural network for real-time parameters regulation. In spite of the excellent results, the drawback of these techniques is the required massive quantity of accurate data to train the controller, and examine its efficiency to attain an adequate achievement, otherwise the enhancement operation of the controller may suspend.

Reasoning from this certainty, the researchers are forming modern nonlinear approaches. For instance, predictive approach that is widely used to attain MPPT for PMSG based wind turbines control as authors reported in [10], but this technique requires an accurate specifying of the system proper model. Likewise, backstepping control is one of the most prevailing control strategies that is based on step-by-step technique. Despite the offered robustness and high efficiency as [11] concluded, but identifying Lyapunov function is one of the complications of backstepping approach, without forgetting that an observer is necessary if all the state variables of the system have not been stated. Besides, fuzzy logic has been considered in previous research as [12], in order to maximize the power production of wind turbine. The results show significant improvement in the performance, yet, this control requires additional fuzzy levels in order to have more efficiency, the issue that affects the knowledge-based rules volume. Researchers implemented the adaptive control methods to monitor the WECS, with the aim of achieving maximum power extraction from the wind. For instance, authors developed in [13] a new sensorless and adaptive control method for a surface-mounted PMSG by using model predictive technique that excludes the need for a position/speed sensors and enhances the model reference adaptive control systems efficiency. Nevertheless, this method is suffering from the same issue of predictive control, and the needed configuration for implementation is massive.

Another processing modern controllers are the feedback linearization approaches that can linearize the system nonlinearities. Authors in [14] proposed nonlinear control via feedback linearization and Lyapunov theory for PMSG-based WECS in order to surpass the nonlinearity and the uncertainty of the parameter issues, while [15] suggested an improved technique by using fuzzy-feedback linearization control with the aim to enhance the extracted power of wind turbine system and achieve the MPPT. Nonetheless, both strategies necessitate accurate parameters for the system, especially at the presence of dynamic uncertainties, what can cause model weakening and deterioration.

In response, another solution to deliver the required rate of effectiveness is the sliding mode techniques [16], that can handle the difficulties of the above-mentioned strategies, including the case of dynamic uncertainties and disturbances presence.

However, the chattering phenomenon can threaten the mechanical parts work, which can debase the system performing [17]. In this context, extensive scope of SMC improvement approaches has been carried out to reach the maximum power extraction of WECS, comprising integral SMC [18] that eliminates the reaching phase, but the gains of the controller gains require an accurate tuning in order to guarantee the constancy and balance among robustness and chattering. Fractional-order SMC (FOSMC) [19] enhances the performance of the system controller by offering a higher freedom degree by means of its fractional-order operator, which limits the chattering effect in contrast with the integral SMC. Even so, FOSMC requires prudent adjustment of the fractional-order operator, which can complicate the controller function. Another encouraging technique is the terminal SMC (TSMC), as authors in [20] demonstrates, this method delivers rapid and finite-time states convergence within the sliding period. However, singularity issue cannot be avoided in the cases of poor initial conditions choice, what necessitate the use of an adaptive non-singular strategy as [21].

Despite the offered enhanced control operation by using the discussed strategies, every one of them brings some difficulties that can decrease the system efficacy. Hence, to beat these drawbacks and overcome the possible degradation by using only one nonlinear control as demonstrated in the above cited upgraded SMCs, the main paper puts forward an intelligent strategy that combines two robust methods that are well known by their excellent performance and fast reactions. The first strategy is the SMC that is carried out after an essential enhancement tactic based on modifying the sliding surface design and upgrading it to a new differentiation and integration sliding surface [22-23], to create fractional-order SMC, which is capable of controlling the proposed high power 5-phase PMSG based wind turbine,
2. Modelling of wind energy conversion systems (WECS)

This research is executed through a horizontal variable speed wind turbine (VSWT), equipped with a gearless variable speed 5-phase PMSG, that injects the power into the grid via two high-power converters and RL circuit. The machine side rectifier with 5-phase legs has a role of controlling the 5-phase PMSG currents in order to guarantee the optimal power extraction.

2.1 Modelling of the wind turbine

The variable wind turbine has the role of collecting the wind energy and transforms it to mechanical power, in accordance with following equation:

\[ P_{tr} = \frac{1}{2} \rho S V_w^3 C_p (\beta \lambda) \]  

The formed mechanical torque is:

\[ T_m = \frac{P_m}{\omega_m} \]

2.2 Dynamic modelling of the 5-phase PMSG

The dynamic design of 5-phase PMSG using the rotational frame can be presented under the park transformation as the following [4, 24]:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
V_{d1} \\
V_{q1} \\
V_{d2} \\
V_{q2}
\end{bmatrix} = \left[ R_s \right] \begin{bmatrix}
i_{d1} \\
i_{q1} \\
i_{d2} \\
i_{q2}
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
L_d \frac{di_{d1}}{dt} - \omega_e L_q i_{q1} \\
L_q i_{q1} + \omega_e (L_d i_{d1} + \psi_{pm}) \\
L_d \frac{di_{d2}}{dt} - 3\omega_e L_q i_{q2} \\
L_q \frac{di_{q2}}{dt} + 3\omega_e L_d i_{d2}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

By taking into account that \( L_d = L_q = L_s \)
If \( i_{d1}, i_{q2} \) and \( i_{d2} \) are forced to zero value, the electromagnetic torque is expressed as:

\[ T_e = \frac{5}{2} p \psi_{pm} i_{q1} \]

The wind turbine mechanical formula is stated as:

\[ \frac{d\omega_m}{dt} = \frac{T_e}{J} - \frac{T_m}{J} - \frac{B\omega_m}{J} \]

3. Generator/machine side FFOSMC:

The intention of controlling the machine side rectifier is to achieve an accurate and fast maximum power tracking of the turbine whatever the wind speed profile. For this reason, the five-phase generator needs to operate at its rated speed, which can be achieved when the system attains the optimal value of the power coefficient \( C_{p_{max}}=0.48 \).

As Fig. 1 shows, in order to meet this necessity, the tip-speed ratio (TSR) is conserved at constant optimal rate \( \lambda_{opt} = 8.1 \).

The rated five phase PMSG velocity is presented as:
\[ \omega_{m,\text{opt}} = \lambda_{\text{opt}} \frac{V_w}{R} \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

Consequently, the maximum extracted power from the wind turbine operation is formulated as:

\[ P_{tr,\text{max}} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \rho \cdot S \left( R \frac{\omega_{m,\text{opt}}}{\lambda_{\text{opt}}} \right)^3 \cdot C_{p,\text{max}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (7)

In order to control the wind turbine, the generator angular velocity \( \omega_m \) must track its optimal rated speed \( \omega_{m,\text{opt}} \). Hence, the proposed FFOSMC is applied to force the expressed tracking error at Eq. (8) asymptotically to negligible value for every progressive system state. The tracking error is determined like:

\[ e(t) = \omega_{m,\text{opt}}(t) - \omega_m(t) \]  \hspace{1cm} (8)

The FFOSMC strategy consists of two control loops in a cascade, with the aim to control the machine side converter, in view of its efficacy, response rapidity, and the high tracking accuracy, in comparison with the classical SMC and other robust strategies as detailed in the results section. First, the outer loop supervises the machine velocity, then it establishes the reference of q-axis current component \( (i_q) \) by using Eqs. (4) and (5). After that, the inner-loop uses the created reference to control the generator direct and quadrature axis current components and forces them to pursue their optimal values. The proposed strategy usefulness in this research is to conserve the correspondence and consistency between the two control loops especially that the studied system consists of 5 current phases which increases the difficulty degree and the number of used sliding surfaces. Thus, hybrid FFOSMC can guarantee the optimal power extraction, by overcoming the classical SMC drawbacks as chattering issue and complex operating process in case of other hybrid strategies. Moreover, the suggested FFOSMC is using an enhanced switching control law in order to improve the reaching phase unsteadiness issue. Accordingly, the proposed mixing strategy between the fuzzy and fractional order techniques increases the effectiveness and ameliorates the rated power tracking regardless the wind fluctuations.

3.1 FFOSMC architeciting

Fractional computation is considered as development of integer order integration and differentiation to non-integer order calculation. The fractional-order fundamental operator can be stated by the following equation:

\[ aD^\lambda_t = \begin{cases} \frac{d^\lambda}{dt^\lambda} & R(\lambda) > 0, \\ 1 & R(\lambda) = 0, \\ \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\lambda)} \int_a^t (\tau)^{-\lambda} d\tau & R(\lambda) < 0, \end{cases} \]  \hspace{1cm} (9)

The Riemann–Liouville expression is reformulated by using laplace transform (TF) as [25] explored:

\[ L\left\{ aD^\lambda_t f(t) \right\} = s^\lambda F(s), \text{ with } (S \equiv j\omega) \]  \hspace{1cm} (10)

As it can be concluded, the fractional-order operator carries a higher freedom in comparison with the integer-order one. Thus, the system behaviour can be improved when the convenient order is chosen, and the efficacy will be ensured.

3.2 Composition of the speed fractional-order sliding mode control (outer loop)

By using Eqs. (4) and (5), an extended equation that reveals the relation between the speed change and the q-axis current component is displayed:

\[ \dot{\omega}_m = -\frac{b}{\lambda} \omega_m + \frac{\nu_{pm}}{\lambda} i_q - \frac{1}{\lambda} T_m \]  \hspace{1cm} (11)

Thereby, by using Eq. (11), the time derivative of Eq. (8) can be described using the state-space representation like:

\[ \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\nu} \\ \dot{\omega}_m \end{bmatrix} = -[X][\nu] - [Y][i_q] + [G] \]  \hspace{1cm} (12)

Where \( \nu \equiv x_\nu \omega_{m,\text{opt}}(t) + z(t) + \hat{\omega}_{m,\text{opt}}(t) \)

In order to build the suggested approach in this paper, the crucial step is designing the appropriate sliding surface \( (S_\nu) \) and control law. Therefore, the FOSMC surface is configured as:

\[ S_\nu = k_{p\nu} \dot{\nu}(t) + k_{i\nu} D^{-n} \nu(t) + k_{d\nu} D^{-n} \nu(t) \]  \hspace{1cm} (13)

\( k_{p\nu}, k_{i\nu} \) and \( k_{d\nu} \) are positive, \( D^{-n} \) and \( D^{-n} \) are considered from Eq. (9), and \( 0 < m, n < 1 \).

By deriving Eq. (13), new equation appears as:

\[ \dot{S}_\nu = k_{p\nu} \dot{\nu}(t) + k_{i\nu} D^{-n} \nu(t) + k_{d\nu} D^{-n} \nu(t) \]  \hspace{1cm} (14)

The convergence condition to make the sliding movement is guaranteed if [26]:

\[ i_{q1\_eq} = (Y k_{p\omega})^{-1} [k_{i\omega} D^{1-n} e(t) + k_{d\omega} D^{m+1} e(t)] - X k_{p\omega} e(t) + k_{p\omega} G \]  

\[ u_n(t) = \frac{1}{X k_{p\omega} + k_{i\omega} D^{1-n} + k_{d\omega} D^{m+1}} \]  

\[ S(X, t) = S(X, \dot{t}) = 0 \]  

\[ u^*(t) = u_{eq}(t) + u_n(t) \]  

\[ \dot{S}_\omega = k_{p\omega} (X e(t) - Y i_{q1} + G) + k_{i\omega} D^{1-n} e(t) + k_{d\omega} D^{m+1} e(t) = 0 \]  

Finally, the equivalent control law of the proposed strategy is carried out as the following:

\[ e_{d1,d2}(t) = [i_{d1,d2}^s(t) - i_{d1,d2}(t)] \]
Referring to Eq. (3), PMSG voltages can be expressed as:

Assisted by Eqs. (3), (20) and (21), the state space form of the current components can be formulated as Eq. (22), by taking into account that the voltage vectors \((V_{d1,12})\) are the control entrance, and the errors \((e_{d1,12})\) are the state-variables:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\dot{e}_{d1} \\
\dot{e}_{q1} \\
\dot{e}_{d2} \\
\dot{e}_{q2}
\end{bmatrix} = 
\begin{bmatrix}
-A_1 & A_2 & 0 & 0 \\
A_6 & A_5 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & A_{11} & -A_{12} & 0 \\
0 & A_{15} & 0 & A_{16}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
e_{d1} \\
e_{q1} \\
e_{d2} \\
e_{q2}
\end{bmatrix} + 
\begin{bmatrix}
V_{d1} \\
V_{q1} \\
V_{d2} \\
V_{q2}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Where, \( A_1 = A_6 = A_{11} = A_{16} = \frac{R_s}{L_s}, \quad A_2 = A_5 = \omega_e, \quad A_{12} = A_{15} = 3\omega_e, \quad B_1 = B_6 = B_{11} = B_{16} = \frac{1}{L_s}\)

\( C_1 = -\omega_e i_{q1}^*, \quad C_2 = \frac{R_s}{L_s} i_{q1}^* + \frac{d}{dt} i_{q1}^* - \frac{\psi_{pm}\omega_e}{L_s} \)

Similar to the development from Eqs. (13-18), the equivalent control law of the extracted 5-phase current is designated like:

\[
e_{q1,q2}(t) = [i_{q1,q2}^*(t) - i_{q1,q2}(t)]
\]

In the same way of the speed outer loop control, the Fuzzy logic output of the inner loop is integrated to generate the switching controller terms of the current control inner loop. Thus, the FFOSMC control algorithm of the generated 5-phase current of the wind turbine can be expressed as:

\[
\begin{align*}
V_{d1}^* &= V_{d1,eq} + \int \Delta V_{d1,n} = V_{d1,eq} + V_{d1,n} \\
V_{q1}^* &= V_{q1,eq} + \int \Delta V_{q1,n} = V_{q1,eq} + V_{q1,n} \\
V_{d2}^* &= V_{d2,eq} + \int \Delta V_{d2,n} = V_{d2,eq} + V_{d2,n} \\
V_{q2}^* &= V_{q2,eq} + \int \Delta V_{q2,n} = V_{q2,eq} + V_{q2,n}
\end{align*}
\]

Accordingly, the aggregate diagram of the developed control in this study is presented in Fig. 5.
Figure 6 Grid side converter control

Table 1. Wind turbine system parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Optimal power</td>
<td>1.5MW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pole pairs number</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed of wind turbine</td>
<td>9.42rd/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dc-link Capacitor</td>
<td>23mF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM flux linkage</td>
<td>1.48Wb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grid resistance</td>
<td>0.661mΩ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator resistance</td>
<td>3.17mΩ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grid inductance</td>
<td>0.175mH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator inductance</td>
<td>0.395mH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moment of inertia</td>
<td>35000N.m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7 Wind speed

4. Grid side converter control (GSC)

The studied wind turbine based five-phase PMSG is related to the grid through a controlled three-phase inverter in order to stabilize the DC-link voltage, and to ensure smooth injection of the electrical power to the utility grid with unity power factor, that is to say that the reactive power of the grid must remain neglected. The detailed grid side control has been discussed in many studies using different strategies. with the aim to focus on the designed FFOSMC, this paper used a classical PI control as discussed in [4], with the intention of displaying the quality of injected active and reactive power into the utility, after it is produced using the proposed FFOSMC. The grid side converter control is detailed at Fig. 6.

5. Results and discussion

To reveal the new advantages of the constructed methodology and displays its high efficacy, bench of simulations and analysis are performed on a large scale 5-phase PMSG drive-based wind energy conversion system under MATLAB/simulink environment. The tests are made by using an unpredictable fast changing wind speed profile to validate the superiority of the proposed FFOSMC in comparison with the classical control techniques. The global system design with the detailed control schemes is pictured in Figs. 5 and 6. The wind turbine parameters are expressed in Table 1, bearing in mind that the studied system is connected to a healthy grid with normal conditions.

5.1 Set-point tracking ability examination:

By implementing a step change profile of the wind speed, as illustrated in Fig. 7, the constructed FFOSMC is explored. Analysing Fig. 8, the produced mechanical velocity of the turbine settles on its optimal value ($\omega_{m, opt} = 9.425$rd/s), when the wind is moving at its rated speed (12m/s) swiftly, after an average duration of (32ms). This dynamic response is better than the scored timing by using the PI controller in reference [4]. On the other hand, the 5-phase current is shown in Fig. 9; it demonstrates that the current is following the wind speed curve continuously. These results confirm that the tracking necessity is improved using the FFOSMC, with the best performance.

5.2 Examination by using unpredictable wind speed profile:

At this stage, it is important to confirm the proposed hybrid FFOSMC control abilities, and uncover its efficacy and robustness rate. Therefore, a cruel test of the 5-phase PMSG based wind turbine is conducted under a capricious wind speed form as shown in Fig. 10, that is used in this study because of...
its fast-varying status, that makes it an appropriate external condition to examine the effectiveness and robustness of the enhanced FFOSMC control strategy.

Firstly, Fig. 11 demonstrates the response of five-phase generator angular velocity, it is clear that the PMSG speed ($\omega_m$) tracks the desired value ($\omega_{m,opt}$) accurately, that is to say, the enhanced controller has fast tracking rate considering the high power of the supervised system. Therefore, the analysis summarizes that the implemented FFOSMC offers better fulfilment of aspirations. Additionally, the wind energy conversion system works in the highest conditions, and the generator produced energy reaches the maximum value by controlling the turbine power coefficient ($C_p$) and fixing it around the maximum value ($C_{p,max} = 0.48$) permanently as Fig. 12 shows. Following the results, the solid achievement of the expanded control loop can readily be recognized with the electromagnetic torque curve that is precisely matched and synchronized with the mechanical torque throughout this work with small errors despite the generator large moment of inertia, as Fig. 13 indicates. Furthermore, the produced current of the five-phase PMSG is following the path of the electromagnetic torque. Thereby, the variations of the injected power are also identical to that path as evidence of the high efficacy of the studied strategy. The developed five-phase current can be observed in Fig. 14, the perfect shape with a balanced exhibitory is obvious during this study, and the switching losses remains limited.

Therefore, the proposed hybrid fuzzy-fractional order SMC control presents in this paper plenty of advantages and improved outcomes as concerns about the better reaction duration, decreased fluctuation, and higher robustness.

To understand the excellent enhancement of the constructed control algorithm and to highlight the strength of this work, a detailed investigation is summarized in Table 2 that carries a comparison with numbers between the main study and other recent works in the same context using different controllers. As can be conclude, the proposed strategy offers better performance at all levels. Moreover, the oscillations amplitude during simulations is lesser when FFOSMC is applied than that generated under conventional SMC, integral SMC and PI monitoring.

The grid side control results are detailed in Figs. 15 to 17. As it can be seen the grid characteristics are controlled with high rate and the objective of the GSC is achieved. The DC link voltage is fixed at its rated value (1150V) regardless the wind speed variations. Moreover, the injected active power is following the
Table 2. Performance comparison between several control approaches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategy</th>
<th>Efficacy</th>
<th>Response duration</th>
<th>Steady state error</th>
<th>Set-point tracking</th>
<th>Achievement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PI Controller, [4]</td>
<td>86.2%</td>
<td>0.79s</td>
<td>0.87%</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional SMC, [4]</td>
<td>91.14%</td>
<td>0.1s</td>
<td>0.335%</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integral SMC, [27]</td>
<td>92.45%</td>
<td>0.1s</td>
<td>0.204%</td>
<td>good</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuzzy-FOSMC, (Main paper)</td>
<td>97.2%</td>
<td>0.032s</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

produced current curve efficiency, and the reactive power of the grid is equal to zero, which means that unity power factor target is carried out.

Besides, the produced maximum electric power can reach 1.46 MW. This outcome demonstrates an
important profit of using the designed control algorithm in this research, which can be undoubtedly revealed by calculating the power tracking effectiveness ratio of the FFOSMC by applying the equation in [4]. Hence, an effectiveness rate of 97.2% is collected using the ameliorated robust FFOSMC, with power loss average of 42kW, which can be counted as a high gain of the wind turbine function, in contrast with other control strategies as Fig. 18 clearly manifests.

The explained conclusions in this section confirm that the paper assumptions before applying the suggested control is meeting with the delivered results. Not only that, but the domination of the designed hybrid fuzzy fractional order sliding mode control over other nonlinear strategies is evident concerning the efficacy, reliability and fast-tracking rate.

6. Conclusions

This study aims to develop a robust fuzzy fractional order sliding mode method that combines the advantages of the fractional calculus relating to the transient response and convergence qualities, sliding mode control as regards to its robustness and the fuzzy logic approach for its capability to simulate the complexity of the human reasoning. The new constructed hybrid-control is implemented with an eye to control a variable speed wind turbine, equipped with a gearless large-scale 5-phase PMSG. The detailed design of monitored system has been displayed and formed in this study. Then, capricious wind speed profile has been used to rotate the turbine and examine the overall system under supervision of the suggested FFOSMC. By fitting the factors of the fractional order (m and n), selecting the appropriate fuzzy logic membership and rules, the applied FFOSMC control is distinctly provides higher rate of performance compared to other techniques of SMC and PI controller, when it comes to the maximum power point tracking (MPPT) speed, the steady-state error decreasing, robustness and effectiveness. The results obtained in this study demonstrates that the FFOSMC approach makes an excellent choice to operate the variable wind turbine with a great degree of reliability, especially at high powers under the unpredictable fast changing wind speed profiles.

In order to complete the progress of the main effort, future studies can address a case study of machine parameters disturbance. Moreover, it would concentrate to implement the main strategy and to examine its efficiency in an experimental work.
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Symbols list

\( \rho \quad \text{Air mass density.} \)

\( R \quad \text{Turbine radius (m).} \)

\( S = \pi.R^2 \quad \text{Turbine blades swept area.} \)

\( V_w \quad \text{The wind speed (m/s).} \)

\( \beta \quad \text{The blade pitch angle.} \)

\( \lambda = R. \omega_m/V_w \quad \text{The tip-speed ratio.} \)

\( C_p \quad \text{Power coefficient.} \)

\( J \quad \text{The Total Moment of inertia.} \)

\( B \quad \text{The friction coefficient.} \)

\( \omega_m \quad \text{Generator speed.} \)

\( \omega_e \quad \text{Electrical angular velocity.} \)

\( P_m \quad \text{Mechanical Power.} \)

\( T_m \quad \text{Mechanical torque.} \)

\( T_e \quad \text{Electromagnetic torque.} \)

\( p \quad \text{The number of poles.} \)

\( R_s \quad \text{The stator resistance.} \)

\( L_{d,q} \quad \text{The stator dq-axis inductances.} \)

\( L_s \quad \text{Stator inductance.} \)

\( V_{d1,d2} \quad \text{The stator dq-axis voltages.} \)

\( V_{q1,q2} \quad \text{The stator dq-axis currents} \)

\( i_{d1,d2} \quad \text{And} \quad i_{q1,q2} \quad \text{The stator dq-axis inductances.} \)

\( \psi_{pm} \quad \text{Rotor flux-linkage.} \)

\( k_{p0}, k_{i0}, k_{d0} \quad \text{Gains of the speed control sliding surface.} \)

\( k_{p1}, k_{i1}, k_{d1} \quad \text{Gains of the } d1\text{-axis current control sliding surface.} \)

\( k_{p2}, k_{i2}, k_{d2} \quad \text{Gains of the } q1\text{-axis current control sliding surface.} \)

\( k_{p3}, k_{i3}, k_{d3} \quad \text{Gains of the } d2\text{-axis current control sliding surface.} \)

\( k_{p4}, k_{i4}, k_{d4} \quad \text{Gains of the } q2\text{-axis current control sliding surface.} \)